

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,784	09/22/2003	Scott Wilson	9013		
7:	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER		
SCOTT WILSON			WALCZAK, DAVID J		
201 W 54th Str	eet				
Manhattan, NY 10019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					WF				
	如何	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons			6,784	WILSON, SCOTT					
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
			J. Walczak	3751					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA maions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the bry period will apply ar by statute. cause the	o event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  1S from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>22 Septemb</u> e	er 2003.						
2a)[ <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	⊠ This action i	s non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)[	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are version of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from							
Applicati	ion Papers		•						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have b cuments have b he priority docu Bureau (PCT F	neen received. neen received in App ments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Sta	age				
Attachmen	i(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sun						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC ' No(s)/Mail Date			Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because a properly drafted abstract should be limited to a single paragraph and phrases which can be implied, such as "The invention involves" and "the hand-held invention is" should not be present therein.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification has not been presented in the proper format (see the format below and in the cited references). Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT

Art Unit: 3751

(e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The claims are replete with terms which are not present in the specification, i.e., the limitations "consistent 5" wide coating" (claim 1), "porous" and "plastic applicator" (claim 2) and "5" coating of adhesive" (claim 3) are not present in the specification. All of the language in the claims must be present in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bedol. Bedol discloses a plastic device (as evidenced by the cross-hatching in the figures) capable of extruding a uniform coating of adhesive paste on the surface of paper (see column 1, lines 63-66).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedol. Bedol discloses a hand held plastic applicator which can apply a uniform coating of adhesive on paper (which is porous). Although the Bedol reference does not disclose the width of the elongated glue stick 24, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the glue dispenser (and glue stick) can be designed to have any suitable length, including a length of 5 inches, without effecting the overall operation of the device, i.e. a manufacturer can obviously choose to design the device to have any length and the specifically claimed length is not considered to be a patentable advance.

Art Unit: 3751

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Harlan and Vierkotter references are cited for disclosing other glue sticks having an elongated shape.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-5895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 6/22/05